

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/913,487	BAUMGARTEN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Jason M. Repko	2628

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Non-final Rejection

(1) Jason M. Repko

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Richard Gollhofer

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6 December 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24, 25, 27, and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Repko discussed the pending claims and possible amendments to place the claims in condition for allowance in an effort to expedite prosecution. Applicant's representative R. Gollhofer told the Examiner would not be able to authorize any amendments until the Applicant was consulted.